

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,418	11/25/2003	Ian D. Robb	2003-IP-010445U1	2699	
75	90 06/26/2006		EXAMINER		
Robert A. Ken	Robert A. Kent			CYGAN, MICHAEL T	
	Halliburton Energy Services 2600 S. 2nd Street			PAPER NUMBER	
Duncan, OK 73536-0440			2855		
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,418	ROBB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cygan	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address	5			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	May 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the mer	its is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 21-39 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is		objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	ig(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	<u> </u>					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure		at received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	n received.				
	•					
Attachment(s)	A					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/25/2003</u> .	6) Other:	·				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/723,418

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 21, 22, 25-31, 33-37, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tayebi (US 6,645,769 B2). Tayebi discloses the claimed method, comprising detecting the flow of hydrocarbon in a subterranean formation by introducing a first tracer into one zone, introducing a second tracer into a second zone, and detecting the tracers downstream, where the tracers have unique characteristics. See abstract. The tracers may be fluorescent; see column 7 line 19. A fluorimeter/colorimeter may be used (column 12 lines 6-39). The tracer may be a dye in the blue absorption/emission spectrum; see column 13 lines 27-34. The tracer may be coated (covalently bound) or 100% encapsulated (through polymer emulsion) with a polymer such as a polystyrene which may be in a gel concentrate form, may be selectively degradable, and water insoluble; see columns 7-9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 23 and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tayebi (US 6,645,769 B2) in view of McKay (US 2,932,741). Tayebi teaches the claimed invention except for the use of fluorescein as the fluorescent tracer. McKay teaches the use of fluorescein as the fluorescent tracer in a subterranean flow rate measurement system for petroleum production; see column 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use fluorescein as taught by McKay as a tracer in the system of Tayebi to trace fluid flow, since fluorescein possesses a notoriously strong fluorescence.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tayebi (US 6,645,769 B2). Tayebi teaches the claimed method except for the method of formation of the polymer. However, the claimed methods of polymer formation are notoriously well known in the art for polymer formation (for instance, it is old in the art to form polystyrene through an organometallic bond-forming reaction using *n*-butyllithium), and would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tayebi (US 6,645,769 B2) in view of Parrish (US 4,055,399). Tayebi teaches the claimed method except for multiple tracers in each matrix. Parrish teaches the use of multiple tracers in each injected slug in a subterranean formation; see abstract. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use multiple tracers in each matrix as taught by Parrish in the invention taught by Tayebi to form the tracer material, since Parrish teaches that this allows detection of multiple locations with only two types of tracer; see column 2 lines 1-36.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

